Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **000**, 000–000 (2006) Printed 5 February 2008 (MN LaTeX style file v2.2)

arXiv:astro-ph/0602295v1 14 Feb 2006

Anisotropic weak turbulence of Alfvén waves in collisionless astrophysical plasmas

Qinghuan Luo and Don Melrose
School of Physics, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

— Received in original form December, 2005

ABSTRACT
The evolution of Alfvén turbulence due to three-wave interactions is discussed using kinetic theory for a collisionless, thermal plasma. There are three low-frequency modes, analogous to the three modes of compressible MHD. When only Alfvén waves are considered, the known anisotropy of turbulence in incompressible MHD theory is reproduced. Inclusion of a fast mode wave leads to separation of turbulence into two regimes: small wave numbers where three-wave processes involving a fast mode is dominant, and large wave numbers where the three Alfvén wave process is dominant. Possible application of the anisotropic Alfvén turbulence to the interstellar medium and dissipation of magnetic energy in magnetars is discussed.

Key words: plasmas – turbulence – ISM: general – pulsars: general

1 INTRODUCTION

There is an extensive literature on the turbulence in the interstellar medium (ISM) that is based on incompressible MHD, in which case the only waves that can exist are Alfvén waves. However, the ISM should be regarded as a compressible MHD medium at frequencies below an effective collision frequency, and as a collisionless, thermal, magnetized plasma at frequencies above the effective collision frequency. An important qualitative change from the incompressible case is that there are then three modes, referred to as the Alfvén, fast and slow modes in compressible MHD and as the Alfvén, magnetosonic and ion sound modes in a collisionless thermal plasma. In principle, wave-wave interactions involving all three wave modes can contribute to the evolution of turbulence

The conventional treatment of the evolution of turbulence in the ISM is in terms of a cascade of Alfvén waves from a small wave number to a large wave number through three-wave interactions, as first proposed independently by Iroshnikov (1964) and Kraichnan (1965). In the Iroshnikov-Kraichnan (IK) theory, the cascade proceeds through collisions of oppositely directed Alfvén wave packets, which leads to break-up of waves into smaller scales, corresponding to larger wave numbers. The IK theory predicts an energy spectrum $E(k) \sim k^{-3/2}$ in the incompressible, isotropic MHD approximation. The isotropy assumption is valid only if the large scale magnetic field in the ISM is ignored. Inclusion of the large-scale magnetic field changes the nature of wave-wave interactions and the spectrum of turbulence. An important effect is suppression of the cascade of Alfvén waves along the mean magnetic field direction due to a kinematic restriction, required by the frequency and wave vector matching conditions (Shebalin et al. 1983; Sridhar & Goldreich 1994). A wave cascade perpendicular to the magnetic field is permitted, so that the turbulence evolves in a highly anisotropic manner, evolving much faster perpendicular to than parallel to the magnetic field, as shown by numerical MHD simulation (Shebalin et al. 1983). This result was confirmed more recently (Montgomery & Matthaeus 1995; Ng & Bhattacharjee 1996; Galtier et al. 2000; Webb et al. 2000; Lithwick & Goldreich 2003). These studies lead to the conclusion that in the ideal, incompressible MHD approximation, three-wave interactions of Alfvén waves are allowed and lead to anisotropic turbulence with a spectrum $E(k_\perp) \sim k_\perp^{-2}$. However, there was some confusion in due to the three-wave matching conditions requiring that one mode must have a zero frequency (Sridhar & Goldreich 1994; Montgomery & Matthaeus 1995; Ng & Bhattacharjee 1996; Galtier et al. 2000; Webb et al. 2000; Lithwick & Goldreich 2003). The assumption that a wave must have a nonzero frequency, combined with the three-wave matching condition requiring strictly zero frequency. led to the conclusion that Alfvén turbulence cannot evolve through three-wave interactions (Sridhar & Goldreich 1994). However, this conclusion was later retracted (Lithwick & Goldreich 2003), with the zero-frequency, finite-$k_\perp$ disturbance being regarded as an acceptable component of the (perpendicular) turbulence.

In this paper we consider the evolutions of Alfvén turbulence in a collisionless, magnetized electron-proton plasma in the random phase approximation. As already noted, the MHD approximation applies in the limit where the wave frequency is small compared with an effective collision frequency, and it also requires that the wave number be small compared with the inverse mean free path. These conditions are not satisfied, for example, for fluctuations on sufficiently small length scales in the ISM (Rickett 1990; Spangler & Gwinn 1990). Indeed, in essentially all astrophysical and space plasmas of interest, waves at high wave number in the turbulent spectrum should be treated using kinetic theory. A more specific example of interest to us is the ultrarelativistic, superstrongly magnetized plasmas in magnetars, where magnetic energy dissipation through Alfvén turbulence is thought to be important in transient X-ray emission (Thompson & Duncan 1995; Thomspon & Blaes 1998). In such a medium, collisions play no role whatsoever, and the properties of the Alfvén waves need to be treated using kinetic theory.

An interesting formal problem arises when one applies the theory of wave-waves interactions in a collisionless plasma to the case where one of the modes has zero frequency. In the kinetic theory, the energy density in waves is proportional to their frequency, implying that there is no energy associated with the zero-frequency waves in the evolving perpendicular turbulence predicted by the MHD treatment. This conclusion cannot be correct, and the resolution of the dilemma is not obvious. Possible resolution might be sought by identifying the perpendicular turbulence with some linear mode, but we are unable to identify any relevant mode. A more favorable approach is to modify the three-wave matching conditions by allowing for resonance broadening. This approach has already been developed in the context of ion sound turbulence where a similar problem arises: the three-wave matching condition cannot be satisfied for three ion sound waves, but such three wave interaction is possible when a broadening in frequency due to nonlinear effects is taken into account (Tsytovich 1971, 1977). The perpendicular turbulence is then regarded as a nonlinear quasi-mode of the medium, with the imaginary part of the frequency of the quasi-mode leading to the broadening of the resonance broadening. Any other form of nonlinear broadening that leads to a nonzero frequency would also overcome the problem in principle. Fortunately, in practice the zero-frequency wave does not lead to mathematical problems because the evolution of the turbulence does not involve its frequency explicitly.

Although we are concerned with the collisionless regime, it is convenient to refer to the modes by their more familiar counterparts in compressible MHD. We denote the Alfvén was as A, the magnetosonic (fast) mode as F, and the ion sound (slow) mode as S. We are aware of no treatments that include the S mode in three wave interactions involving the A and F modes, and we ignore this mode here. The simplest relevant approximation for the remaining A and F modes is the cold plasma limit, and most of our detailed results apply in this limit. The conventional cascade involves $A \to A + A$, and we concentrate initially on this interaction in the collisionless case. However, even if the initial waves were purely Alfvénic (A), three-wave interactions, $A \to A + F$, $A \to F + F$, would cause a mixture of modes to develop. As a result one also needs to consider the interactions $F \to F + F$, $F \to F + A$, $F \to A + A$. The ratio of F to A in the resulting turbulent spectrum is of interest, especially in view of the fact that the F can experience significant Landau damping, both by thermal particles and by cosmic rays.

The properties of the Alfvén mode and fast mode in a cold magnetized plasma are summarized in Sec. 2. Weak turbulence due to three-wave interactions involving three Alfvén waves is discussed in Sec. 3. The effect of including the F mode is discussed in Sec. 4. Application to the ISM and to magnetars are discussed in Sec. 5 and 6, respectively.

2 THE ALFVÉN AND FAST MODES

The properties of low-frequency waves in a collisionless plasma are well known; the following summary of these properties is based on the treatment by Melrose (1986).

The general expression for the response tensor for a magnetized plasma with thermal distributions of electrons and ions, with thermal speeds V_e, V_i, involves modified Bessel functions with argument $\lambda_\alpha \equiv k_\perp V_\alpha/\Omega_\alpha$ and plasma dispersion functions with argument $z_\alpha \equiv \omega/\sqrt{2}k_\parallel V_\alpha$, where $\alpha = i, e$ denotes the species of particle with gyrofrequency $\Omega_\alpha = |q_\alpha|B/m_\alpha$, and where where $k_\perp, k_\parallel$ are the perpendicular and parallel wave vectors, respectively. The general form is approximated by assuming low frequencies, $\omega \ll \omega_{pi}$, and small gyroradii, $\lambda_\alpha \ll 1$. The ion sound speed and the Alfvén speed are identified as $v_s = \omega_{pi}V_e/\omega_p$ and $v_A = (\Omega_i/\omega_{pi})c$. The nearly incompressible limit of MHD corresponds to the collisionless regime $v_s \ll v_A$, which we assume to be satisfied. With these approximations the dielectric tensor reduces to the cold plasma approximation, which may be written in the form

$$K_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \sum_\alpha \frac{\omega_{p\alpha}^2}{\omega^2}\tau_{ij}^{(\alpha)}(\omega), \tag{1}$$

$$\tau_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \Omega_\alpha^2}\left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\Omega_\alpha^2}{\omega^2}b_ib_j + i\eta_\alpha\frac{\Omega_\alpha}{\omega}\varepsilon_{ijl}b_l\right), \tag{2}$$

where $\eta_\alpha = q_\alpha/|q_\alpha|$ is the sign of the charge of species α, and $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{B}/B$ is the magnetic field line direction, assumed to be the 3-axis. The low-frequency approximation corresponds to $\omega \ll \Omega_i$, where for simplicity we assume only one ionic species. The wave properties are then found by assuming the component $|K_{33}|$ is very large, and an expansion in ω/Ω_i is performed. For present purposes, it is important to retain the corrections involving ω/Ω_i only in the polarization vectors.

The dispersion relation, $\omega = \omega(\mathbf{k})$, the polarization vector $\mathbf{e}$, and the ratio R of the electric energy to the total wave energy for the Alfvén mode (A) and the magnetoacoustic (fast) mode (F) may be approximated by

$$\omega_A = |k_\parallel|v_0, \quad \mathbf{e}_A = \mathbf{e}_1 - i\frac{\omega}{\Omega_i}\cot^2\theta\,\mathbf{e}_2, \tag{3}$$

$$\omega_F = kv_0, \quad \mathbf{e}_F = \frac{\omega}{\Omega_i}\sec^2\theta\,\mathbf{e}_1 + i\mathbf{e}_1 \tag{4}$$

$$R_A = R_F = v_0^2/2c^2, \quad v_0^2 = v_A^2/(1 + v_A^2/c^2), \tag{5}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = (\cos\phi, \sin\phi, 0), \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = (-\sin\phi, \cos\phi, 0), \tag{6}$$

with $\mathbf{k} = (k_\perp \cos\phi, k_\perp \sin\phi, k_\parallel)$ and $\tan\theta = k_\perp/k_\parallel$. For $v_A^2 \ll c^2$ one has $v_0^2 \approx v_A^2$, and for sufficiently low density or strong magnetic field one can have $v_A^2 \gg c^2$ one has $v_0^2 \approx c^2$. Apart from the corrections proportional to ω^2/Ω_i^2, these wave properties are then the same as those be derived using relativistic MHD theory (Achterberg 1983; Thomspon & Blaes 1998).

Thermal corrections to the dispersion relations (3) and (4) arise by retaining extra terms in the expansion in $\lambda_\alpha \equiv k_\perp V_\alpha/\Omega_\alpha$ and $z_\alpha \equiv \omega/\sqrt{2}k_\parallel V_\alpha$. This is important when considering the damping of the waves. Landau damping by electrons dominates for the F-mode waves, giving a damping rate $\Gamma_F \propto v_s/v_0$. Landau damping of the F mode both by thermal electrons and by cosmic rays needs to be considered. Landau damping for the A mode is much weaker, $\Gamma_A \propto (\omega/\Omega_i)^2$, and can be ignored.

3 WEAK ALFVÉN TURBULENCE

We apply the random phase formalism to three-wave interactions involving three A waves.

3.1 Interaction of three Alfvén waves

A three-wave coupling between A waves may be denoted by $A \leftrightarrow A' + A''$, where A, A', A'' denote the three waves. The resonance or matching condition, which express conservation of energy and momentum from a semiclassical viewpoint, are

$$\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k}'', \quad \omega(\mathbf{k}) = \omega'(\mathbf{k}') + \omega''(\mathbf{k}''), \tag{7}$$

with $\omega(\mathbf{k})$ given by (3). These conditions then give $k_\parallel = k'_\parallel + k''_\parallel$ and $|k_\parallel| = |k'_\parallel| + |k''_\parallel|$, which are satisfied only if one of the waves, say A'', has $k''_\parallel = 0$, corresponding to zero frequency. Then $k_\parallel = k'_\parallel$ implies that three-wave interactions do not affect the distribution in $k_\parallel$ and affect only the distribution in $\mathbf{k}_\perp$.

The interpretation of the zero-frequency mode has been discussed extensively in the MHD context (Montgomery & Matthaeus 1995; Bhattacharjee & Ng 2001; Galtier et al. 2000, 2002; Lithwick & Goldreich 2003). A specific problem arises with the zero-frequency mode in the kinetic theory formalism because a zero-frequency disturbance carries no energy, seemingly precluding any transfer of energy in the turbulent cascade. The resolution of this dilemma that we propose here is that the nonlinear wave-wave interactions produce a natural spread in wave dispersion, i.e. growth or damping due to nonlinear interactions causes a broadening in frequency in the dispersion relations (3) and (4) (Tsytovich 1971, 1977). The idea is that the frequency matching condition (7) expresses energy conservation in the limit when the nonlinear transfer rate is negligible in comparison with the wave frequencies, and using it to infer a zero-frequency mode is inconsistent because wave frequencies smaller than the nonlinear transfer rate are not physically meaningful. An alternative version of this idea is as follows. For turbulence associated with waves in a specific mode, the turbulent energy is proportional to the Fourier transform of the correlation function of the wave amplitude, $U(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ say. If the turbulence is sufficiently weak, such that the nonlinear effect on the dispersion relation is negligible, then one has $U(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = U(\mathbf{k})2\pi\delta(\omega - \omega(\mathbf{k}))$ (Melrose 1986), where $U(\mathbf{k})$ is the energy density per unit volume of $\mathbf{k}$-space. The effect of the nonlinear transfer rate, Γ, is to broaden the δ-function distribution into a Lorentzian profile centred at a frequency that differs from the usual dispersion relation, $\omega(\mathbf{k})$, by a nonlinear frequency shift, with a width $\sim |\Gamma|$ (Tsytovich 1971). The inclusion of nonlinear broadening allows the three-wave matching condition to be satisfied by three A waves without requiring one of them to have a zero frequency.

It is convenient to introduce the frequency mismatch between the three A waves:

$$\Delta\Omega = (|k_\parallel| - |k'_\parallel| - |k''_\parallel|)v_0, \tag{8}$$

For the third wave to have a nonzero frequency, due to a nonlinear correction or due to any other effect, $\Delta\Omega$ must be nonzero. A waves that all propagate either forward or backward cannot interact through the three-wave process. For example, if all three waves propagate forward $k_\parallel > 0$, $k'_\parallel > 0$ and $k''_\parallel > 0$, the mismatch would be zero, $\Delta\Omega = 0$, but $\Delta\Omega \neq 0$ is necessary when the nonlinear interaction is included, forbidding three forward-propagating waves from interacting. The inclusion of $\Delta\Omega \neq 0$, or $\omega'' \neq |k''_\parallel|v_0$, is important conceptually, but it turns out to be unimportant in treating the evolution of the A waves due to three-wave interaction. This is because the kinetic equation for the propagating Alfvén waves does not depending explicitly on the frequency of this wave. One needs to appeal directly to the nonlinear broadening only when considering the kinetic equation for the perpendicular (zero-frequency) component of the turbulence. However, this is not considered in the MHD treatments, and we do not consider it here.

3.2 Three-wave coupling

In a semi-classical formalism (Tsytovich 1977; Melrose 1986), the three-wave coupling is described by a probability that depends on the properties of the three waves and on the quadratic nonlinear response of the plasma. The quadratic response tensor, α_{ijl}, is written down in the Appendix. The probability can be written as $u_{AA'A''}$ times a δ-function that expresses the matching conditions (7), and is written below as $(2\pi)^4\delta^4(k - k' - k'')$. A detailed calculation gives $u_{AA'A''} = 4\hbar R_A R_{A'} R_{A''} |\alpha_{AA'A''}|^2/(\varepsilon_0^3 \omega\omega'\omega'')$, with $\alpha_{AA'A''} = e_{Ai} e_{A'j} e^*_{A''l} \alpha_{ijl}$ the projection of the nonlinear response tensor onto the polarization vectors of the three waves. Some further details are discussed in the Appendix. We find

$$u_{AA'A''} = \frac{2\pi r_p v_0^6}{v_A^4} \frac{\hbar\omega''}{m_p c^2} \left(\frac{k_\perp c}{\omega}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\omega}{\Omega_i}\right)^4 \Bigg[2\sin\phi' \sin\phi'' - \frac{v_0^2}{v_A^2} \left(\frac{k_\parallel}{k_\perp}\right)^2 \Bigg(\cos(\phi'' - \phi') + \frac{k_\perp}{k'_\perp}\cos\phi'' \Bigg) \Bigg]^2, \tag{9}$$

where $\phi = 0$ is assumed, m_p is the proton mass, assuming an electron-proton plasma, and $r_p = r_e(m_e/m_p)$, with $r_e = e^2/4\pi\varepsilon_0 m_e c^2 \approx 2.6 \times 10^{-15}$ m the classical radius of the electron. As already noted, for a strictly zero-frequency mode $\omega'' = 0$, (9) implies a zero three-wave probability, but there is a corresponding factor of $1/\omega''$ that effectively appears when one writes down the kinetic equation for the A waves, allowing one to use (9) without needing to specify the value of ω''.

3.3 Kinetic equation for Alfvén waves

The evolution of the occupation number (classically, the wave action) $N(\mathbf{k})$ of A-mode waves is determined by the kinetic equation

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dN(\mathbf{k})}{dt} &\approx -\int \frac{d\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^3}\,\frac{d\mathbf{k}''}{(2\pi)^3} u_{AA'A''}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{k}'')N_{A''}(\mathbf{k}'') \\ &\quad \times\Big[N(\mathbf{k})-N(\mathbf{k}')\Big](2\pi)^4\delta^4(k-k'-k'') \\ &= -\frac{2\pi^2 r_p v_0^5}{v_A^4}\left(\frac{k_\perp}{k_\parallel}\right)^2\left(\frac{\omega}{\Omega_i}\right)^4\int\frac{d\mathbf{k}''_\perp}{(2\pi)^3}\,\frac{U_{A''}}{m_pc^2} \\ &\quad \times\Big[N(\mathbf{k})-N(\mathbf{k}')\Big]\Bigg[2\,\mathrm{sin}\phi'\,\mathrm{sin}\phi''-\frac{v_0^2}{v_A^2} \\ &\quad \times\left(\frac{k_\parallel}{k_\perp}\right)^2\left(\cos(\phi''-\phi')+\frac{k_\perp}{k'_\perp}\cos\phi''\right)\Bigg]^2. \qquad (10)\end{aligned}$$

On the left hand side of (10), the derivative is interpreted according to $d/dt=\partial/\partial t+\mathbf{v}_g\cdot\partial/\partial\mathbf{x}+(\partial\omega/\partial\mathbf{x})\cdot\partial/\partial\mathbf{k}$, where $\mathbf{v}_g=\partial\omega/\partial\mathbf{k}$ is the group velocity. On the right hand side of (10) the occupation number for the double-primed mode is $N_{A''}(\mathbf{k}'')=U_{A''}(\mathbf{k}'')/\hbar\omega''$, and for $\omega''\to 0$ this term dominates over other nonlinear terms $\propto N(\mathbf{k})N(\mathbf{k}')$ that are omitted here (Lithwick & Goldreich 2003). Note also the mentioned cancelation of ω'' in the product $u_{AA'A''}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{k}'')N_{A''}(\mathbf{k}'')$. The equality in (10) is derived assuming $k'_\parallel\approx k_\parallel$ and $\mathbf{k}'_\perp=\mathbf{k}_\perp-\mathbf{k}''_\perp$. This approximation remains valid provided that the natural spread in frequency is much smaller than the wave frequency. Eq (10) is similar to an equation derived by Galtier et al. (2002) (cf. their Eq [10]) using the incompressible MHD formalism.

3.4 Natural broadening in frequency

Nonlinear interactions lead both to a frequency shift and to wave growth or damping, giving a nonlinear correction to the dispersion relation, and introducing a natural broadening in frequency, respectively. The frequency shift and growth rate can be derived from

$$\delta\omega=-\frac{R_A}{\varepsilon_0\omega}\,\mathrm{Re}\,\alpha^N, \qquad (11)$$

$$\Gamma=-\frac{R_A}{\varepsilon_0\omega}\,\mathrm{Im}\,\alpha^N, \qquad (12)$$

where all terms on the RHS are evaluated at the values from the dispersion relation, and with $\alpha^N(\mathbf{k})=e_i^*(\mathbf{k})e_j(\mathbf{k})\alpha_{ij}^N$ determined by the quadratic response tensor (Melrose 1986). As already noted, the evolution of $U_{A''}(\mathbf{k}'')$ (the zero-frequency mode) requires $\Gamma\neq 0$ and the expression (12) generally leads to a nonzero growth or damping rate.

3.5 Turbulence spectrum

Assuming that the three-wave interaction is the dominant process in the turbulence, one may derive the energy spectrum in some limiting cases using a method similar to that used by Zakharov (1984). The kinetic equation (10) includes integrals over the azimuthal angles ϕ',ϕ'', which may be rewritten using

$$\cos\phi' = \frac{k_\perp^2+{k'_\perp}^2-{k''_\perp}^2}{2k_\perp k'_\perp}\equiv\mu'_c, \qquad (13)$$

$$\cos\phi'' = \frac{{k_\perp}^2-{k'_\perp}^2+{k''_\perp}^2}{2k_\perp k''_\perp}\equiv\mu''_c. \qquad (14)$$

On writing $N(\mathbf{k})\equiv N(k_\perp,k_\parallel)/k_\perp$ and $U_{A''}(\mathbf{k}'')=U_{A''}(k''_\perp)/k''_\perp$, the kinetic equation reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dN(k_\perp,k_\parallel)}{dt} &\approx -\frac{r_pv_0^5}{\pi v_A^4}\left(\frac{k_\perp}{k_\parallel}\right)^2\left(\frac{\omega}{\Omega_i}\right)^4 \\ &\times\int dk'_\perp dk''_\perp\,\frac{U_{A''}(k''_\perp)}{m_pc^2}\,\frac{k'_\perp}{k_\perp k''_\perp}\left(1-{\mu'_c}^2\right)\left(1-{\mu''_c}^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &\qquad\times\left[N(k_\perp,k_\parallel)-\frac{k_\perp}{k'_\perp}N(k'_\perp,k_\parallel)\right], \qquad (15)\end{aligned}$$

where μ'_c and μ''_c are functions of $k'_\perp/k_\perp$, $k''_\perp/k_\perp$ given by (13) and (14), and the terms involving $(k_\parallel/k_\perp)^2$ are ignored (Galtier et al. 2002). The integration range is limited by $|\cos\phi'|\leqslant 1$ and $|\cos\phi''|\leqslant 1$, implying $k'_\perp>k_\perp-k''_\perp$, $k''_\perp<k_\perp+k'_\perp$, and $k'_\perp<k_\perp+k''_\perp$.

The turbulence spectrum is derived by a standard argument based on a dimensional analysis. Assume that the source that drives the turbulence and the sink where the energy is dissipated are widely separated in k. A stationary solution with a power-law $N(k_\perp,k_\parallel)\sim k_\perp^{-\alpha}$ is sought from (15) by a conformal transform (Zakharov 1984). In the inertial range, where there is neither source nor sink, there is a constant flux of energy from smaller to larger k, and the spectrum is stationary, $dN/dt=0$. It is convenient to introduce dimensionless variables $\xi_1=k'_\perp/k_\perp$ and $\xi_2=k''_\perp/k_\perp$. Assuming $U_{A''}(k''_\perp)\sim {k''_\perp}^{-\beta}$, Eq (15) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dN}{dt} &\sim \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2\,\xi_2^{-\beta-1}\xi_1 \\ &\quad\times\left(1-{\mu'_c}^2\right)\left(1-{\mu''_c}^2\right)^{1/2}\left(1-\xi_1^{-\alpha-1}\right) \\ &= -\int d\xi'_1 d\xi'_2\,{\xi'_2}^{-\beta-1}\xi'_1\left(1-{\mu'_c}^2\right)\left(1-{\mu''_c}^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad\times\left(1-{\xi'_1}^{-\alpha-1}\right){\xi'_1}^{\alpha+\beta-4}. \qquad (16)\end{aligned}$$

The second form of the integral is obtained from the first by writing $\xi'_1=1/\xi_1$, $\xi'_2=\xi_2/\xi_1$, $\mu'_c(\xi_1,\xi_2)\to\mu'_c(\xi'_1,\xi'_2)$ and $1-{\mu''_c}^2(\xi_1,\xi_2)\to{\xi'_1}^{-2}[1-{\mu''}^2_c(\xi'_1,\xi'_2)]$. Half the sum of the two forms gives a third form

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dN}{dt} &\sim \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2\,{\xi_2}^{-\beta-1}\xi_1\left(1-{\mu'_c}^2\right)\left(1-{\mu''_c}^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad\times\left(1-{\xi_1}^{-\alpha-1}\right)\left(1-{\xi_1}^{\alpha+\beta-4}\right). \qquad (17)\end{aligned}$$

A stationary solution exists for $\alpha+\beta=4$. For $\alpha=\beta$, corresponding to a single power-law solution, the conditions for stationarity then gives $\alpha=\beta=2$, i.e.

$$N(k_\perp,k_\parallel)\sim k_\perp^{-2}. \qquad (18)$$

The spectrum has the same form as for incompressible MHD (Bhattacharjee & Ng 2001; Galtier et al. 2000, 2002; Lithwick & Goldreich 2003).

4 TURBULENCE INVOLVING THE F MODE

The F (magnetosonic) mode may play an important role in the Alfvén turbulence through the decay and coalescent processes $F \leftrightarrow A{+}A'$, $A \leftrightarrow F{+}A'$. Such processes have no counterpart in incompressible MHD. Although, these processes were discussed by Livishits & Tsytovich (1970), the broadening effect was not considered. In this Section we include the broadening explicitly and discuss the relative importance of these processes to three-Alfvén-wave interactions.

Consider the case where a F-mode wave interacts with two A waves, $F \leftrightarrow A + A'$, that all propagate forward or all backward. It can be shown that when the frequency spread is included the three wave interaction is forbidden. Let $(\omega, \mathbf{k})$ and $(\omega', \mathbf{k}')$, $(\omega'', \mathbf{k}'')$ be the wave frequency and wave vector of the F-mode wave and the two A-mode waves, respectively. These satisfy the three-wave condition (7). Assuming $\Delta\Omega > 0$ to be a broadening to the three-wave frequency condition as the result of nonlinear interactions, for $k'_\parallel > 0$ and $k''_\parallel > 0$, one has

$$k = k'_\parallel + k''_\parallel + \frac{\Delta\Omega}{v_0}, \qquad k_\parallel = k'_\parallel + k''_\parallel, \tag{19}$$

which gives rise to

$$k_\perp^2 = \left(\frac{\Delta\Omega}{v_0}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{2\omega}{\Delta\Omega}\right). \tag{20}$$

This equation is also valid when the two A waves both propagate backward, i.e. $k'_\parallel < 0$ and $k''_\parallel < 0$. Since the high frequency waves must satisfy $\omega \gg \Delta\Omega \neq 0$, the right-hand side is negative, forbidding $F \rightarrow A + A'$ with the two Alfvén waves both propagating either forward or backward. An analogous result applies for compressible MHD (Thomspon & Blaes 1998).

It follows that $F \rightarrow A + A'$ is allowed only when the A waves are oppositely directed, $k'_\parallel k''_\parallel < 0$. Assuming $k'_\parallel > 0$ and $k''_\parallel < 0$, in a similar way to the derivation of (20), one finds

$$k_\perp^2 \approx \frac{4\omega'\omega''}{v_0^2} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta\Omega}{2\omega''}\right), \tag{21}$$

where $k_\perp \neq 0$ for $\Delta\Omega < 2\omega''$ is explicit. The general expression for the three-wave interaction is rather cumbersome, and we consider only the special case where one of the two A waves has a low frequency, $\omega'' \ll \omega, \omega'$. For $\phi = 0$, one has (cf. Appendix)

$$\alpha_{FA'A''} \approx -\frac{2\varepsilon_0 e \omega_{pi}^2}{m_p} \left(\frac{k_\perp \omega\omega''}{\Omega_i^3}\right) \cos(\phi' + \phi''). \tag{22}$$

The frequencies of all three waves are subject to nonlinear broadening (cf. Sect. 3.1), but such frequency broadening does not lead to a qualitative change in the nature of three-wave interactions. Although a low-frequency ω'' is assumed here, unlike interactions of three A waves considered in Sect. 3, the three-wave condition does not requires $\omega'' \rightarrow 0$. The probability can be approximated by

$$u_{FAA'} \approx \frac{8\pi r_p c^2 v_0^4}{v_A^4} \, \frac{\hbar\omega}{m_p c^2} \left(\frac{\omega''}{\Omega_i}\right)^2 \cos^2(\phi' + \phi''), \tag{23}$$

where (21) is used to eliminate $k_\perp$. In (23), we assume $\omega'' \gg \Delta\Omega$, which is relevant here as $u_{FAA'} \propto \omega''^2$ favors a moderately high ω''. In this approximation, the frequency spread plays no role in three-wave interactions.

An important point is that three wave interactions can convert purely Alfvénic turbulence into a mixture of A and F modes. The process $A \rightarrow F + A'$ creates the F-mode waves and the process $F \rightarrow A + A'$ removes them. In a steady state there is a mixture of F and A waves with the ratio determined by the ratio of the rates of the processes $A \rightarrow F + A'$ and $A \rightarrow A' + A''$. The process $A \rightarrow F + A'$ is described by the same probability as for $F \rightarrow A + A'$; one simply reverses the signs of the relevant $\mathbf{k}$s and uses $\omega(-\mathbf{k}) = -\omega(\mathbf{k})$. The probability (23) continues to apply with a replacement $\phi' \rightarrow \phi = 0$. The ratio of F to A waves in the turbulent spectrum can be estimated from the ratio of the interaction rate that generates (or destroys) the F-modes to the interaction rate for purely A-mode turbulence. These rates are $\gamma_{AFA'} \propto u_{AFA'}[N_F(\mathbf{k}') + N_{A'}(\mathbf{k}'')]$ and $\gamma_{AA'A''} \propto u_{AA'A''}N_{A''}$, respectively. Using (23) and (9), one finds

$$\frac{\gamma_{AFA'}}{\gamma_{AA'A''}} \sim 4\left(\frac{\omega_{pi}}{\omega}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\omega''_{FAA'}}{\omega}\right) \left(1 + \frac{W_F}{W_A}\right), \tag{24}$$

where W_F and W_A are the energy density of the F and A modes, respectively. One assumes that $W_{A'} \sim W_A$ and $v_A \ll c$. We distinguish between $\omega'' \rightarrow \omega''_{FAA'}$ in (23) from $\omega'' \rightarrow \omega''_{AA'A''}$ in (9). We define a critical frequency, ω_c, that separates two regimes: for $\omega > \omega_c$ the rate of $A \rightarrow A' + A''$ is faster than the rate of $A \rightarrow F + A'$, and for $\omega < \omega_c$ the rate of $A \rightarrow F + A'$ is faster than that of the pure Alfvénic process $A \rightarrow A' + A''$. Using (24), one derives the critical frequency as

$$\frac{\omega_c}{\omega_{pi}} \sim \left(\frac{4\omega''_{FAA'}}{\omega_{pi}}\right)^{1/3} \left(1 + \frac{W_F}{W_A}\right)^{1/3}. \tag{25}$$

The inner and outer scales, corresponding to frequencies ω_{in} and ω_{out} say, must satisfy $\omega_{in} > \omega_c > \omega_{out}$ for this critical frequency to be relevant. The turbulence in the regime $\omega < \omega_c$ must involve $F \rightarrow F' + F''$ as well as the above discussed processes (involving both A and F). Unless a dominant process is singled out, in which case one may use the analytical approach similar to that discussed in Sec. 3.5, derivation of the spectrum in this regime needs a numerical approach and is not discussed here.

5 THE ISM AND MAGNETARS

In this Section we discuss possible application of the foregoing results to turbulence in the ISM and to magnetic energy dissipation in magnetars. In both cases, we concentrate in the regime $\omega \ll \Omega_i$. In the case of the ISM, this regime corresponds to a length scale well exceeding the ion inertial length scale v_A/Ω_i where wave damping through cyclotron resonance becomes important.

5.1 Turbulence in a collisionless ISM

The foregoing discussion leads to two qualitative features with implications for turbulence in the ISM. One feature is that the anisotropic features of Alfvénic turbulence found in treatments based on the incompressible MHD also apply to the collisionless case. The other feature is that when F mode waves are included, the ratio of the rates of the processes $F \rightarrow A + A'$ and $A \rightarrow F + A'$ to the rate of the process

$A \to A' + A''$ is large at sufficiently low frequencies and small at sufficiently high frequencies.

The presence of the F mode in the turbulent spectrum is relevant to scintillations of compact radio sources such as pulsars and intraday variable sources (IDVs) (Rickett 1990). Scintillations result from density fluctuations, which are associated with the F mode but not with the A mode. Hence, if there is no F mode component there should be no scintillations. Our results suggest that the F mode should be present at frequencies lower than the critical frequency

$$\omega_c \sim \omega_{pi} \left(\frac{4\Delta\Omega}{\omega_{pi}}\right)^{1/3}, \tag{26}$$

where we assume $W_F \sim W_A$ and $\omega'' \sim \Delta\Omega/2$. The ISM has multiple components with a range of temperatures and densities (McKee & Ostriker 1977). In McKee & Ostriker's three-component model, the ISM consists of a hot low density component (the HIM), a cold neutral cloud component that is embedded in the former with a much smaller filling factor, and a warm coronal component (the WIM) that surrounds the neutral cloud. For the HIM, the typical density and temperature are $n_i \sim 3 \times 10^3\,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ and $T \sim 5 \times 10^5\,\mathrm{K}$. The plasma frequency is $\omega_{pi} = 73\,(n_i/3 \times 10^3\,\mathrm{m}^{-3})^{1/2}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. For a typical magnetic field $B = 5 \times 10^{-10}\,\mathrm{T}$ (Heiles 1987), the Alfvén speed is $v_A = (\Omega_i/\omega_{pi})c \approx 2 \times 10^5\,\mathrm{m\,s}^{-1}$ with $\Omega_i = 5 \times 10^{-2}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. In such a plasma, the ratio of the thermal pressure ($n_e k_B T$) to the magnetic energy density ($W_B = B^2/2\mu_0$) is generally less than unity, $\beta = n_e k_B T/W_B < 1$. Assuming that the broadening is related to the ratio of the wave energy density to the magnetic energy density through $\Delta\Omega \sim \omega(W_A/W_B)$ (e.g. Tsytovich 1977), one may estimate the critical frequency as $\omega_c \sim 2\omega_{pi}(W_A/W_B)^{1/2}$. There is no reliable way to estimate the wave energy density, though there must be an upper limit $W_A/W_B \ll 1$. For ω_c to be in the strong magnetic field regime ($\omega_c < \Omega_i$), one must have $W_A/W_B < (\Omega_i/2\omega_{pi})^2 \sim 10^{-7}$ for the above-nominated parameters. Eq (26) can be converted to a length scale $l_c = v_A/\omega_c$ for magnetic fluctuations in the parallel direction (relative to the mean magnetic field). The lower limit to l_c is the ion inertial length $l_i \equiv v_A/\Omega_i$: $l_c \sim (v_A/\omega_{pi})(W_A/W_B)^{-1/2} > l_i \sim 4 \times 10^6\,\mathrm{m}$. So, the three A-wave process becomes dominant only in the region near the inner scale of the turbulence $l_i < 1/k_\parallel < l_c$ and the three-wave process involving F waves dominates in the short wavelength region $1/k_\parallel \geqslant l_c$.

The above conclusion is valid for the WIM as well. The WIM has a relatively high density $n_i \sim 10^6\,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$, corresponding to a plasma frequency $\omega_{pi} = 1.3 \times 10^3\,(n_i/10^6\,\mathrm{m}^{-3})^{1/2}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$, and a relatively low temperature $T \sim 5 \times 10^3\,\mathrm{K}$. One then estimates $l_{c,\mathrm{WIM}}/l_{c,\mathrm{HIM}} \sim (n_{i,\mathrm{HIM}}/n_{i,\mathrm{WIM}})^{1/2} = l_{i,\mathrm{WIM}}/l_{i,\mathrm{HIM}} \sim 0.06$, where the two components (HIM, WIM) of the ISM are labelled explicitly. Thus, the anisotropic Alfvén turbulence may exist in both HIM and WIM, favorably in the scale of turbulence near l_i. It is emphasized here that this result is derived under the assumption of weak turbulence and that the possibility of strong turbulence in the ISM remains open.

5.2 Alfvén waves in magnetars

Alfvén turbulence is relevant to magnetic dissipation in magnetars, which are supercritically magnetized neutron stars (with $B \gg B_{cr} \approx 4.4 \times 10^9\,\mathrm{T}$). Soft gamma-ray repeaters (SGRs) and AXPs are thought to be magnetars. Bursting X-ray emission is believed to be powered by magnetic energy not rotational energy. The enormous magnetic stress beneath the surface causes cracks in the neutron star's solid crust producing elastic (shear) waves which couple to both the A mode and F mode that propagate in the magnetosphere (Blaes, Blandford, Goldreich, & Madau 1989). These large amplitude waves trigger a cascade producing turbulence leading to plasma heating. The favorable region where the wave cascade occurs is the closed field line region where perturbations at the two opposite foot points of a closed field line launch A waves that meet head on. Since the shear waves underneath the surface propagate tangentially, the A waves excited by the shear waves may propagate approximately perpendicular to the field lines (Blaes, Blandford, Goldreich, & Madau 1989). Assuming a sharp boundary, the shear waves can couple to both the A mode and F mode. Here we only consider the former. The typical frequency of A waves can be estimated from $\omega_0 \sim V/\Delta d = 10^6(V_s/10^6\,\mathrm{m\,s}^{-1})(\Delta d/1\,\mathrm{m})^{-1}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (Thompson & Duncan 1995), where $V_s = (\mu/\rho)^{1/2}$ is the shear velocity, μ is the shear modulus, ρ is the crust density, and Δd is the length scale of the crack. The shear velocity is typically $V_s \sim 10^6\,\mathrm{m\,s}^{-1}$ for $\mu \sim 10^{29}\,\mathrm{J\,m}^{-3}$ and $\rho \sim 10^{17}\,\mathrm{kg\,m}^{-3}$. In the strong magnetic field limit, one has $v_A \to c$ and the dispersion relation $k_\parallel c \approx \omega_0$. The latter gives $k_\parallel \sim 3 \times 10^{-3}\,\mathrm{m}^{-1}$.

For ideal MHD to be valid one needs the electron number density in the plasma to be sufficiently high that it can support the required induction current that is dominant over the displacement current $\sim \omega\delta E/\mu_0 c^2$, where $\delta E \sim \delta B/c$ is the electric field of the wave. Since the maximum current it can provide is $n_e ec$, this condition can be written as $\omega_p \gg (\omega\delta\Omega_e)^{1/2}$, which corresponds to $n_e \gg 3 \times 10^{24}\,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ for $\omega \sim 10^6\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ and $\delta\Omega_e = e\delta B/m_e \sim 1.7 \times 10^{20}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (for $\delta B \sim 10^{-2}B$ with $B = 10^{11}\,\mathrm{T}$). As there can be a wide range of frequencies due to Δd not being well constrained, ideal MHD may not be applicable and the collisionless regime may be the more appropriate.

For the wave cascade to be relevant to plasma heating in magnetars, the decay time of A waves must be shorter than the flow time ($\sim$ the rotation period$/2\pi$) of the plasma in the magnetosphere. The propagation of A waves near the surface is oblique, with

$$\frac{k_\perp}{k_\parallel} \approx \frac{c}{V} \approx 3 \times 10^2, \tag{27}$$

for $V \sim 10^6\,\mathrm{m\,s}^{-1}$ (Blaes, Blandford, Goldreich, & Madau 1989; Thomspon & Blaes 1998). The three-wave process involving a F wave is important at $\omega_0 < \omega_c$, where the critical can be estimated in a similar way to (26). In the limit $v_0 \to c$, one finds

$$\omega_c \sim \frac{k_\parallel}{k_\perp}\left(\frac{\delta B}{B}\right)^2 \Omega_i \sim 10^{12}\left(\frac{R_0}{r}\right)^3\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}, \tag{28}$$

where $R_0 = 10^4\,\mathrm{m}$ is the star's radius. Here we assume $\Delta\Omega \sim \omega(\delta B/B)^2$, $\delta B/B = 10^{-2}$ and $k_\perp/k_\parallel = 300$. The three A wave process starts to dominate at $r \geqslant 10^2 R_0$ for $\omega_0 = 10^6\,\mathrm{s}^{-1} > \omega_c$. In the following we consider the three A wave process only.

Since wave decay through three A wave interaction is preferentially in the perpendicular direction with the probability $\propto (k_\perp/k_\parallel)^2$, the three-wave interaction would be significantly enhanced as $k_\perp/k_\parallel$ increases. The decay time can be estimated from (10) as

$$t_d \sim \frac{\beta_A^4}{8\pi^2 r_p c \Delta L_\parallel}\left(\frac{k_\parallel}{k_\perp}\right)^2\left(\frac{\Omega_i}{k_\parallel c}\right)^4\left(\frac{W_{A''}}{m_p c^2}\right)^{-1}, \qquad (29)$$

where $\Delta L_\parallel$ is the longitudinal (along the magnetic field) size of the volume concerned. Since the decay process favours a large $k_\perp$, the decay rate increases as the turbulence becomes anisotropic. The typical luminosity of transient X-rays (regular bursts) from SGRs is about 10^{28} W. A giant burst may reach as high as $10^{37} - 10^{40}$ W. For example, the recent giant burst from SGR1806-20 on the 27th December 2004 released about 10^{40} J in less than a second (Borkowski et al. 2004). Assume both high and low frequency waves are excited with the energy density of the latter being $W_{A''}$. We also assume $\Delta L_\parallel \sim r$, where r is the typical radial distance where wave cascade occurs, and that $W_{A''} \sim 10^{31}\,\mathrm{J}/\Delta V$, where $\Delta V \sim r^3$ is the volume. For $k_\parallel/k_\perp \sim 300$, and $v_A/c \sim 7.2 \times 10^8 (B_0/10^{11}\,\mathrm{T})(n_i/10^{20}\,\mathrm{m}^{-3})^{-1/2}(R_0/r)^{3/2}$, where $R_0 = 10^4$ m is the star's radius, B_0 is the magnetic field on the surface and n_i is the proton number density, one finds $t_d \sim 1\,\mathrm{s}$ for a radius much smaller than the radius of the light cylinder $r \sim 3.9 \times 10^3 R_0 \ll R_{LC} = cP/2\pi = 3 \times 10^4 R_0 (P/6\,\mathrm{s})$, where P is the magnetar period. For $W_{A''} \sim 10^{40}\,\mathrm{J}/\Delta V$, which may be needed for a giant flare, the dissipation occurs much closer to the surface, $r \sim 5 \times 10^2 R_0$. Wave cascade may occur much closer to the surface if one assumes a higher plasma density.

One possible channel for dissipation is through Landau damping by thermal electrons. Since the frequency of the A'' mode is nonzero, one expects the wave cascade would increase $k_\parallel$ and that the damping becomes effective when the parallel scale becomes sufficiently small $1/k_\parallel \sim c/\Omega_i \sim 1.8\,\mathrm{m}$ at a radial distance $r \sim 3.9 \times 10^3 R_0$ as compared to the initial $1/k_\parallel \sim 300\,\mathrm{m}$. Efficient damping would lead to rapid plasma heating and hence thermal X-ray emission.

6 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We study three-wave interactions of A waves in the random phase formalism in the collisionless limit. We consider weak turbulence so that energy and momentum of three interacting waves are conserved in each interaction. It is shown that similar to the recent result in the ideal, incompressible MHD, three-wave interactions of A waves can occur and lead to anisotropic turbulence in the collisionless approximation. In the incompressible formalism, three A waves can interact only when one of them has zero frequency as required by the three-wave resonance condition. Here we treat the zero-frequency mode as a low frequency limit $\omega'' \ll \omega \sim \omega'$ but nonzero. The three-wave resonance condition can still be satisfied due to natural broadening as the result of nonlinear interactions. Since each interaction results in only a small change in $k_\parallel$, compared to a change in $k_\perp$, the turbulence becomes anisotropic in the sense that fluctuations in the wave energy density are elongated in the perpendicular direction (in the $\mathbf{k}$ space), with spectrum $\sim k_\perp^{-2}$. This result is similar to that derived in the MHD formalism. Because three-wave interactions also lead to a cascade in $k_\parallel$, dissipation of wave energy is possible through Landau damping.

Three-wave processes involving the F mode have a counterpart in compressible MHD but not in incompressible MHD. Rather than a single decay, $A \to A' + A''$, we also consider $A \to F + A'$ and $F \to A + A'$ which create and destroy a F-mode component in the turbulence. These processes involving the F mode are most important at the lowest frequencies, that is, near the outer scale of the turbulence. At higher frequencies three-wave interactions involving three A waves become dominant, with the cross-over determined by (26). Our result are consistent with the recent result by Chandran (2005), who considered weak compressible MHD turbulence including the fast mode under the assumption of a constant density. We note that Cho & Lazarian's (2003) recent numerical simulation of turbulence in a compressible MHD shows that the F mode contributes negligibly to the energy transfer in the turbulence except near the outer scale region. However, their calculation is in the strong turbulence regime where the energy and momentum of three waves need not be conserved.

We discuss two applications: Alfvén turbulence in the ISM, and in the magnetospheres of magnetars. An important implication for the ISM is the anisotropic nature of the turbulence which may be related to the observed anisotropy in density fluctuations, as suggested by several authors (Goldreich & Sridhar 1995; Bhattacharjee & Ng 2001). It is shown here that at a smaller wave number, three-wave processes involving a F wave is dominant and the process including A-mode waves only is important at a large wave number. Only the F mode involves density fluctuations that are required for scintillation. In contrast to the three A wave interaction, there is no preferential direction (in $\mathbf{k}$) for wave cascades involving an F-mode wave. The F mode can be dissipated through cascade to larger wave numbers and damped near the inner scale region.

In the application to magnetars, the cascade time is found to be short so that the cascade can occur well inside the radius of the light cylinder. Thus Alfvén turbulence through three-wave interactions provides an important channel for dissipation. One possible way to dissipate the A waves is through Landau damping as they cascade to waves of short wavelength comparable to the inner scale of the turbulence.

The third MHD-like mode, the ion sound or S mode, should be considered in any extension of the analysis in this paper. Although there have been some discussions of weak compressible MHD turbulence involving the slow mode (Kuznetsov 2001), we are aware of no detailed results that include the effect of the ion sound mode in magnetized turbulence in the collisionless limit. This mode is strongly (Landau) damped in a thermal plasma, and we speculate that its inclusion might lead to an effective damping of Alfvén turbulence through the process $A \to A' + S$, with the S mode rapidly damped. This might lead to an efficient dissipation of magnetic energy in the application to magnetars, but we do not discuss this point further here.

APPENDIX A: THREE-WAVE PROBABILITY

In the random phase approximation, three-wave interactions $M \leftrightarrow P+Q$, where M, P and Q represent the three modes, satisfy the energy (frequency) and momentum (wave vector) conservation, which is also referred to as the three-wave resonance condition, i.e. $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{k}''$ and $\omega_{_M}(\mathbf{k})=\omega_{_P}(\mathbf{k}')+\omega_{_Q}(\mathbf{k}'')$. Using a semi-classical formalism, a collection of waves in mode M can be described by their occupation number $N_M(\mathbf{k})$ in the 6-dimensional phase space. Three-wave interactions are then described by the probability $w_{MPQ}(k,k',k'')=u_{MPQ}(k,k',k'')(2\pi)^4\delta^4(k-k'-k'')$ with (Tsytovich 1977; Melrose 1986)

$$\begin{aligned} u_{MPQ}(k,k',k'') &= \frac{4\hbar R_M(\mathbf{k})R_P(\mathbf{k}')R_Q(\mathbf{k}'')}{\varepsilon_0^3|\omega_{_M}(\mathbf{k})\omega_{_P}(\mathbf{k}')\omega_{_Q}(\mathbf{k}'')|} \\ &\quad \times|\alpha_{_{MPQ}}(k,k',k'')|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

where $\alpha_{_{MPQ}}=e^*_{_M i}e_{_P j}e_{_Q s}\alpha_{ijs}$, α_{ijs} is the quadratic response tensor, $\mathbf{e}_M$ is the polarization of mode M, and $k=(\omega,\mathbf{k})$. The δ-function contains the three-wave matching conditions. The quadratic response tensor in the cold plasma approximation has the following symmetric ($\mathbf{k}'\leftrightarrow\mathbf{k}''$ and $j\leftrightarrow s$) form (Melrose 1986)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{ijs} &= -\sum_\alpha \frac{q_\alpha^3 n_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2}\left[\frac{k_r}{\omega'}\tau^{(\alpha)}_{rj}(\omega')\tau^{(\alpha)}_{is}(\omega'')\right. \\ &\quad +\frac{k_r}{\omega''}\tau^{(\alpha)}_{rs}(\omega'')\tau^{(\alpha)}_{ij}(\omega')+\frac{k'_r}{\omega}\tau^{(\alpha)}_{ir}(\omega)\tau^{(\alpha)}_{js}(\omega'') \\ &\quad +\frac{k''_r}{\omega}\tau^{(\alpha)}_{ir}(\omega)\tau^{(\alpha)}_{sj}(\omega')-\frac{k''_r}{\omega'}\tau^{(\alpha)}_{rj}(\omega')\tau^{(\alpha)}_{is}(\omega) \\ &\quad \left.-\frac{k'_r}{\omega''}\tau^{(\alpha)}_{rs}(\omega'')\tau^{(\alpha)}_{ij}(\omega)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

with $\tau^{(\alpha)}_{ij}$ given by (2). Since $\tau^{(\alpha)}_{xz}=\tau^{(\alpha)}_{zx}=\tau^{(\alpha)}_{yz}=\tau^{(\alpha)}_{zy}=0$, one has $\alpha_{_{MPQ}}(k,k',k'')=0$ for the Alfvén or F modes propagating parallel or antiparallel to the mean magnetic field. In this special case, they do not interact with each other.

It can be shown that in the expansion $O(\omega/\Omega_i,\omega'/\Omega_i,\omega''/\Omega_i)$, only $\alpha^{(4)}_{ijs}$ is important. Since $\tau_{zz}\sim O(1)$, $\alpha^{(0)}_{ijs}$ is nonzero only for $i=j=s=z$. However, since $e_{Az}\sim O(1/\Omega_\alpha^2)\times O(v_s^2/v_A^2)$ ($e_{Az}=0$ for a cold plasma), one has $e_{Az}e_{A'z}e^*_{A''z}\alpha^{(0)}_{zzz}\sim O(1/\Omega_\alpha^6)$. The nonzero components of $\alpha^{(1)}_{ijs}$ correspond to one or two of the three indices being z. Then they produce terms of $O(1/\Omega_\alpha^3)\times O(v_s^2/v_A^2)$ and $O(1/\Omega_\alpha^5)$ in $\alpha_{AA'A''}$. One can show that $\alpha^{(2)}_{ijs}=0$ for $\phi=0$. To the order $O(1/\Omega_\alpha^3)$, the relevant components are α_{xxx}, α_{xxy}, α_{xyx}, α_{yxx}, α_{xyy}, α_{yxy}, and α_{yyx}. Since $m_e^2\Omega_e^3\gg m_p^2\Omega_i^3$, one may ignore the electron terms:

$$\sum_\alpha\frac{q_\alpha^3n_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2}\frac{1}{\Omega_\alpha^3}\approx\sum_i\frac{q_i^3n_i}{m_i^2}\frac{1}{\Omega_i^3}+O(m_e/m_i). \tag{A3}$$

In the cold plasma approximation, the polarization vectors are effectively perpendicular to $\mathbf{B}$ and then all the components of α_{ijl} with any of the three indices equal to z gives zero contribution to $\alpha_{_{MPQ}}(k,k',k'')$. The remaining terms give $\alpha^{(n)}_{ijs}=-(e^3n_i/m_p^2c\Omega_i^n)\tilde{\alpha}^{(n)}_{ijs}$ with

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(3)}_{xxx}\approx i\left(k''_y\omega+2k'_y\omega''\right)c\omega, \tag{A4}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(3)}_{xxy}\approx i\left(\omega''-\omega\right)k''_xc\omega, \tag{A5}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(3)}_{xyx}\approx i\left(-k'_xc\omega\omega''\right), \tag{A6}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(3)}_{yxx}\approx i\left(-k_xc\omega\omega''\right), \tag{A7}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(3)}_{xyy}\approx\ ik_yc\omega\omega'', \tag{A8}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(3)}_{yxy}\approx\frac{i}{\Omega_i^3}\,k'_yc\omega\omega'', \tag{A9}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(3)}_{yyx}\approx\frac{i}{\Omega_i^3}\left(\omega-\omega''\right)k''_yc\omega, \tag{A10}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(3)}_{yyy}\approx\frac{i}{\Omega_i^3}\left(-k''_x\omega-2k'_x\omega''\right)c\omega, \tag{A11}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(4)}_{xxx}\approx 0, \tag{A12}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(4)}_{xxy}\approx 0, \tag{A13}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(4)}_{xyx}\approx 2\left[-\omega k''_y+\omega''(k''_y-k'_y)\right]c\omega^2, \tag{A14}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(4)}_{xyy}\approx 2\left[\omega k''_x+\omega''(k'_x-k''_x)\right]c\omega^2. \tag{A15}$$

We only retain terms up to $O(\omega''/\omega)$. The lowest order terms in the expansion give

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{(4)}_{AA'A''} &= e^{(0)}_{Ai}e^{(0)}_{A'j}e^{(0)*}_{A''s}\alpha^{(4)}_{ijs}+e^{(1)}_{Ai}e^{(0)}_{A'j}e^{(0)*}_{A''s}\alpha^{(3)}_{ijs} \\ &\quad +e^{(0)}_{Ai}e^{(1)}_{A'j}e^{(0)*}_{A''s}\alpha^{(3)}_{ijs}+e^{(0)}_{Ai}e^{(0)}_{A'j}e^{(1)*}_{A''s}\alpha^{(3)}_{ijs} \\ &= e^{(0)}_{A'j}e^{(0)*}_{A''s}\alpha^{(4)}_{xjs}-\frac{i\omega}{\Omega_i}\cot^2\theta e^{(0)}_{A'j}e^{(0)*}_{A''s}\alpha^{(3)}_{yjs} \\ &\quad +e^{(1)}_{A'j}e^{(0)*}_{A''s}\alpha^{(3)}_{xjs}+e^{(0)}_{A'j}e^{(1)*}_{A''s}\alpha^{(3)}_{xjs}, \end{aligned} \tag{A16}$$

where the polarization vector is written into the form $\mathbf{e}\approx e^{(0)}+e^{(1)}$ The second equality is derived for $\phi=0$. For $k_\parallel\sim k'_\parallel$ one has $|\cos\theta''|=|k''_\parallel|/\sqrt{{k''_\parallel}^2+{k''_\perp}^2}\ll 1$. Thus we have

$$|e^{(0)}_{A'j}e^{(1)*}_{A''s}\alpha^{(3)}_{xjs}|\sim|\cot^2\theta''|=\frac{{k''_\parallel}^2}{{k''_\parallel}^2+{k''_\perp}^2}\sim O({\omega''}^2). \tag{A17}$$

The first term in Eq. (A16) is dominant, which is used to calculate (9). Here one assumes a single ionic component $i=p$ (protons).

The quadratic response for $F\leftrightarrow A+A'$ can be written as $e_{Fi}e_{Aj}e_{A's}\alpha_{ijs}\approx e_{Fi}e_{Aj}e_{A's}\alpha^{(3)}_{ijs}$. One may derive (22) using (A4)–(A11).

REFERENCES

Achterberg, A., 1983, Phys. Rev. A, 28, 2449.

Bhattacharjee, A., Ng, C. S., 2001, ApJ, 548, 318.

Blaes, O., Blandford, R., Goldreich, P., Madau, P., 1989, ApJ, 343, 839.

Borkowski, J. et al. 2004, GCN, No. 2920.

Chandran, B. D., 2005, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 265004.

Cho, J., Lazarian, A., Vishniac, E., 2000, ApJ, 539, 273.

Cho, J., Lazarian, A., 2003, MNRAS, 345, 325.

Heiles, C., 1987, in Interstellar Processes, ed. D.J. Hollenbach and H.A. Thronson (Dordrecht: Reidel), p. 171.

Galtiér, S., Nazarenko, S. V., Newell, A. C., Pouquet, A., 2000, J. Plasma Phys., 63, 447.

Galtiér, S., Nazarenko, S. V., Newell, A. C., Pouquet, A., 2002, ApJ, 564, L49.

Goldreich, P., Sridhar, H., 1995, ApJ, 438, 763.

Iroshnikov, P. S., 1964, Sov. Astron. J. 7, 566.

Kraichnan, R. H., 1965, Phys. Fluids, 8, 1385.

Kuznetsov, E. A., 2001, JETP, 93, 1052.

Lithwick, Y., Goldreich, P., 2003, ApJ, 582, 1220.

Livishits, M. A., Tsytovich, V. N., 1970, Nucl. Fusion, 10, 240.

Luo, Q., Melrose, D. B., 1997, J. Plasma Phys. 58, 345.

McKee, C. F., Ostriker, J. P. 1977, ApJ, 218, 148.

Melrose, D. B., 1986, Instabilities in space and laboratory plasmas, Cambridge University Press.

Melrose, D. B., 1987, Aus. J. Phys. 40, 139.

Melrose, D. B., 2004, Quantum Plasmadynamics.

Mikhailovskii, A. B., Novakovskaya, E. A., Lakhin, V. P., Novakovskii, S,. V., Onishchenko, O. G., Smolyakov, A. I., 1989, JEPT, 68, 924.

Montgomery, D., Matthaeus, W. H., 1995, ApJ, 447, 706

Ng, C. S., Bhattacharjee, A., 1996, ApJ, 465, 845.

Rickett, B. J., 1990, ARA&A, 28, 561.

Shebalin, J. V., Matthaeus, W. H., Montgomery, D., 1983, J. Plasma Phys. 29, 525.

Spangler, S, Gwinn, C., 1990, ApJ, 353, L29.

Sridhar, S, Goldreich, P., 1994, ApJ, 432, 612

Thompson, C., Blaes, O., 1998, Phys. Rev. D, 57, 3219.

Thompson, C., Duncan, R., 1995, MNRAS, 275, 255.

Tsytovich, V. N., 1971, Plasma Phys., 13, 741.

Tsytovich, V. N., 1977, Theory of Turbulent Plasma, (Consultants Bureau: New York).

Webb, G. M., Zakharian, A. R., Brio, M., Zank, G. P., 2000, J. Plasma, Phys., 63, 393.

Zakharov, V. E., 1984, in Basic Plasma Physics, Vol 2, p 3, (North-Holland Press: Amsterdam).